

On $(1,2)^*$ -Semi-Generalized-Star Homeomorphisms

O.Ravi¹, S. Pious Missier², T. Salai Parkunan³
And K.Mahaboob Hassain Sherieff⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai Dt,
Tamilnadu, India, Email : siingam@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics, V. O. Chidambaram College, Thoothukudi,
Tamilnadu, India, Email : spmissier@yahoo.com

³Department of Mathematics, Arul Anandar College, Karumathur, Madurai Dt,
Tamilnadu, India, Email : parkunan@yahoo.com

⁴Department of Mathematics, S.L.S. Mavmm AV College, Kallampatti, Madurai Dt.,
Tamilnadu, India, Email : rosesheri14@yahoo.com

Corresponding Author: T.SALAI PARKUNAN parkunan@yahoo.com

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalised-star closed sets (briefly $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed sets) and study some of its properties. Their corresponding pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed maps and $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute maps are defined and studied in this paper.

Keywords: $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set, $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set, pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed map, $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute map.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification .54E55.

1. Introduction

The study of bitopological spaces was first initiated by Kelly [2] in the year 1963. Recently Ravi, Lellis Thivagar, Ekici and Many others [3, 5 - 14] have defined different weak forms of the topological notions, namely, semi-open, preopen, regular open and α -open sets in bitopological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalized-star closed (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed) sets and investigate their properties. By using the class of $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed sets, we study the properties of $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open sets, pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed maps and $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute maps. In most of the occasions our ideas are illustrated and substantiated by some suitable examples.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, X and Y denote bitopological spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) respectively, on which no separation axioms are assumed.

Definition 2.1

Let S be a subset of X . Then S is called $\tau_{1,2}$ -open [13] if $S = A \cup B$, where $A \in \tau_1$ and $B \in \tau_2$.

The complement of $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is called $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

The family of all $\tau_{1,2}$ -open (resp. $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed) sets of X is denoted by $(1,2)^*$ -O(X) (resp. $(1,2)^*$ -C(X)).

Example 2.2

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}\}$.

Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Definition 2.3

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X . Then

- (i) the $\tau_{1,2}$ -closure of A [12], denoted by $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)$, is defined by $\bigcap \{U: A \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-closed}\}$;
- (ii) the $\tau_{1,2}$ -interior of A [12], denoted by $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)$, is defined by $\bigcup \{U: U \subseteq A \text{ and } U \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-open}\}$.

Remark 2.4

Notice that $\tau_{1,2}$ -open subsets of X need not necessarily form a topology.

Now we recall some definitions and results, which are used in this paper.

Definition 2.5

A subset S of a bitopological space X is said to be $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open [12] if $S \subseteq \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(S))$.

The complement of $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set is called $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed.

The family of all $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open sets of X will be denoted by $(1,2)^*$ -SO(X).

The $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closure of a subset S of X is, denoted by $(1,2)^*\text{-scl}(S)$, defined as the intersection of all $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed sets containing S .

Definition 2.6

A subset S of a bitopological space X is said to be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg-closed [10] if $(1,2)^*\text{-scl}(S) \subset U$ whenever $S \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)^*\text{-SO}(X)$.

Definition 2.7

A subset S of a bitopological space X is said to be a $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed [14] if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(S) \subset U$ whenever $S \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)^*\text{-O}(X)$.

The complement of $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -g-open.

Definition 2.8

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called

- (i) $(1,2)^*$ -continuous [12] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed in X for every $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set V in Y .
- (ii) $(1,2)^*$ -open map [11] if the image of every $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is an $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open.
- (iii) $(1,2)^*$ -irresolute [9] if the inverse image of $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open.

Definition 2.9

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism [11] if f is bijection, $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and $(1,2)^*$ -open.

3. $(1,2)^*$ -Semi-Generalised- Star-Closed Sets

Definition 3.1

A subset A of a bitopological space X is called a $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalised-star-closed (briefly, $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$) if $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open in X .

Example 3.2

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Clearly the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ are $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$.

Theorem 3.3

Every $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set is $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$.

Proof:

Let A be a $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed subset of X . Let $A \subset U$ and U be $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) = A$, since A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Therefore $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset U$. Hence A is $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$.

Remark 3.4

The converse of Theorem 3.3 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.5

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X\}$. Then the set $\{a, c\}$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ but not $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Theorem 3.6

Every $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ set is $(1,2)^*\text{-g-closed}$.

Proof:

Let A be a $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ subset of X . Let $A \subset U$ and U be $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Then U is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open since every $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Since A is $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ and U is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open, we have $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset U$. Hence A is $(1,2)^*\text{-g-closed}$.

Remark 3.7

The converse of Theorem 3.6 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.8

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Then the set $\{b, d\}$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-g-closed}$ but not $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$.

Remark 3.9

$(1,2)^*\text{-semi-closed}$ sets and $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ sets are independent of each other.

Example 3.10

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Clearly the set $\{b\}$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-semi-closed}$ set but not $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$.

Example 3.11

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Clearly the set $\{a, c, d\}$ is $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ set but not $(1,2)^*\text{-semi-closed}$.

Remark 3.12

Union of two $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$ sets need not be a $(1,2)^*\text{-sg}^*\text{-closed}$.

Example 3.13

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\},$

$\{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}$ are $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. But $\{a\} \cup \{c\} = \{a, c\}$ is not $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Theorem 3.14

A $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set which is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Proof:

Let A be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set which is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. We have $A \subset A$ and A is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Since A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed, $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset A$. It is well known that $A \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)$. Hence A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Result 3.15

Being $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open is a sufficient condition for a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set to be $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. However this condition is not necessary. There are $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed sets which are $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed but not $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open.

Example 3.16

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Clearly the set $\{b\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set and $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed but not $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open.

Theorem 3.17

If A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed and $A \subset B \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)$, then B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Proof:

Let A be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed subset of X . Since $A \subset B \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)$, we have $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(B) \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)$. Let $B \subset U$ and U be $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Then $A \subset U$, $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset U$ since A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Hence $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(B) \subset U$. Hence B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Theorem 3.18

Let A be $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X but not $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then for every $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set $U \subset A$, there exists an $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set V such that A intersects V and $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$ does not intersect V .

Proof:

Assume that A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed but not $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Let $U \subset A$ and U be $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. We claim that $A \not\subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$. If $A \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$, then $U \subset A \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$ and U is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Hence A is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Therefore A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed and $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open which implies A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

But A is not $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Hence $A \not\subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$. Hence there exists $x \in A$ and $x \notin \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$. Let $V = (\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U))^c$. Then V is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$ does not intersect V . Since $x \notin \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$, we have $x \in (\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U))^c$. Hence $x \in V$. Since $x \in A$ and $x \in V$, $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$, A intersects V and $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U)$ does not intersect V .

Definition 3.19

Let X be a bitopological space and $A \subset X$. Then $(1,2)^*$ -frontier of A , denoted by $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(A)$, is defined to be the set $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \setminus \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)$.

Theorem 3.20

Let A be $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed and $A \subset U$ where U is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Then $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(U) \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A^c)$.

Proof:

Let A be $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed and let $A \subset U$ where U is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Then $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset U$. Take any $x \in (1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(U)$. We have $x \in \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U) \setminus \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(U)$. Hence $x \in \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(U) \setminus U$ since U is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Hence $x \notin U$. Therefore $x \notin \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)$. Hence $x \in (\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))^c$. Therefore $x \in \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A^c)$. Hence $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(U) \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A^c)$.

Definition 3.21

A bitopological space X is called RM-space if every subset in X is either $\tau_{1,2}$ -open or $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Theorem 3.22

In a RM-space X , every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Proof:

Let X be a RM-space. Let A be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed subset of X . Then A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open or $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. If A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed, then nothing to prove. If A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open, then A is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Since A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed and A is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open, by Theorem 3.14, A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed.

Remark 3.23

The converse of Theorem 3.22 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.24

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}$ are $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Therefore every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. But X is not a RM-space.

Definition 3.25

A subset A of a bitopological space X is said to be $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalised-star-open (briefly, $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open) if A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Theorem 3.26

Every $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Proof:

Let A be an $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set of X . Then A^c is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Also A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed since every $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Hence A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Remark 3.27

The converse of Theorem 3.26 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.28

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Clearly the set $\{b\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open but not $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

Theorem 3.29

Every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set is $(1,2)^*$ -g-open.

Proof:

Let A be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set of X . Then A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Also A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed, since every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -g-closed. Hence A is $(1,2)^*$ -g-open.

Remark 3.30

The converse of Theorem 3.29 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.31

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. Then the set $\{c\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -g-open but not $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Remark 3.32

Intersection of two $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open sets need not be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Example 3.33

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ are $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open. But $\{a, b\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{b\}$ is not $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Theorem 3.34

If A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open and $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A) \subset B \subset A$. Then B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Proof:

Let A be $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open. Hence A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Since $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A) \subset B \subset A$, $(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}A)^c \supset B^c \supset A^c$. Therefore $A^c \subset B^c \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A^c)$. Hence by Theorem 3.17, B^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Hence B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Theorem 3.35

If A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open and $A \supset F$ where F is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed then $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(F) \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)$.

Proof:

Given that A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open and $A \supset F$ where F is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Then A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed, $A^c \subset F^c$ and F^c is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. By Theorem 3.20 $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(F^c) \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)$. Hence $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(F) \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)$ since $(1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(F^c) = (1,2)^*\text{-Fr}(F)$.

Theorem 3.36

In a RM-space X , every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

Proof:

Let X be a RM-space. Let A be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open subset of X . Then A^c is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Since X is a RM-space, A^c is $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed. Hence A is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open.

Remark 3.37

The converse of Theorem 3.36 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.38

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -open and the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$ are $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open. Therefore every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set is $\tau_{1,2}$ -open. But X is not a RM-space.

Theorem 3.39

Any singleton set is either $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed or $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

Proof:

Take $\{x\}$, if it is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed then nothing to prove. If it is not $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed, then $\{x\}^c$ is not $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Therefore X is the only $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set containing $\{x\}^c$. Therefore $\{x\}^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Hence $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open. Therefore $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-closed or $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

4.(1,2)*-Semi-Generalised-Star

Homeomorphisms

Definition 4.1

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a $(1,2)^*$ -closed if $f(V) \in (1,2)^*-C(Y)$ for every $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set V in X .

Theorem 4.2

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -closed if and only if $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}[f(A)] \subseteq f[\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)]$ for every $A \subseteq X$.

Proof:

Let f be $(1,2)^*$ -closed and let $A \subseteq X$. Then $f[\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)] \in (1,2)^*-C(Y)$. But $f(A) \subseteq f[\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)]$. Then $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}[f(A)] \subseteq f[\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)]$. Conversely, let $A \subseteq X$ be a $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set. Then by assumption, $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}[f(A)] \subseteq f[\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)] = f(A)$. This shows that $f(A) \in (1,2)^*-C(Y)$. Hence f is $(1,2)^*$ -closed.

Definition 4.3

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X for every $\sigma_{1,2}$ -closed set V of Y .

Theorem 4.4

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism. Then a subset A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in $Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X .

Proof:

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism. Let A be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed subset of Y . Let $B = f^{-1}(A)$. Now to prove that B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X . Let U be any $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set with $B \subset U$. Then $f(B) \subset f(U)$. Therefore $f(f^{-1}(A)) \subset f(U)$. Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, $f(f^{-1}(A)) = A$. Therefore $A \subset f(U)$. We claim that $f(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Since U is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open, $U \subset \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(U))$. Then $f(U) \subset f(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(U))) \subset \sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(U)))$, since f is $(1,2)^*$ -continuous and $f(U) \subset \sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\sigma_{1,2}\text{-int } f(U))$ since f is $(1,2)^*$ -open. Therefore $f(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Since $A \subset f(U)$, $f(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open and A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Therefore $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset f(U)$. Hence $f^{-1}(\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)) \subset f^{-1}(f(U))$. Since f is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism, $f^{-1}(\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)) = \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A))$. Therefore $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A)) \subset f^{-1}(f(U))$. Therefore $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(B) \subset U$. It means B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X . Hence $f^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Theorem 4.5

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism. A subset A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in X .

Proof:

A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $Y \Rightarrow A^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in $Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A^c)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in $X \Rightarrow [f^{-1}(A)]^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in $X \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in X .

Theorem 4.6

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism. A subset A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in $X \Rightarrow f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .

Proof:

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism. Assume that A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X . Let $B = f(A)$. Now to prove that B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y . Let U be a $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open set with $B \subset U$. That is $f(A) \subset U$. Hence $f^{-1}(f(A)) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Since f is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, $f^{-1}(f(A)) = A$. Therefore $A \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Since U is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open and f is a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism, $f^{-1}(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open, we have $A \subset f^{-1}(U)$, $f^{-1}(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open and A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Therefore $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Hence $f(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)) \subset f(f^{-1}(U))$. Since f is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed map, $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f(A)) \subset f(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))$. Therefore $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f(A)) \subset f[f^{-1}(U)]$. Hence $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(B) \subset U$. It means B is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y . Therefore image of a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Theorem 4.7

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism. A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $X \Rightarrow f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in Y .

Proof:

A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $X \Rightarrow A^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X .
 $\Rightarrow f(A^c)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .
 $\Rightarrow [f(A)]^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .
 $\Rightarrow f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in Y .

Definition 4.8

Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a pre $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed if for each $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set A in X , $f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .

Theorem 4.9

Every $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism is a pre $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed map.

Proof :

It follows from Theorem 4.6.

Definition 4.10

Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open if for each $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set A in X , $f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in Y .

Theorem 4.11

Every $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism is a pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open map.

Proof:

It follows from Theorem 4.7.

Definition 4.12

Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute if for each $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed set A in Y , $f^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X .

Theorem 4.13

Every $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute map.

Proof:

It follows from Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.14

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute if and only if inverse image of every $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open set in Y is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in X .

Proof:

A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $Y \Leftrightarrow A^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y
 $\Leftrightarrow f^{-1}(A^c)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X .

$\Leftrightarrow [f^{-1}(A)]^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X .

$\Leftrightarrow f^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in X .

Definition 4.15

Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-homeomorphism if f is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute and f^{-1} is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute.

Theorem 4.16

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, then the following are equivalent.

1. f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute and f is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.
2. f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute and f is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open.

3. f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-homeomorphism.

Proof:

$1 \Rightarrow 2$. We have $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute and f is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed. Since f is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed, A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $X \Rightarrow A^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in X .

$\Rightarrow f(A^c)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .

$\Rightarrow [f(A)]^c$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .

$\Rightarrow f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in Y .

Hence f is a pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open map.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$. We have $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute and f is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open. Since f is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open, A is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $X \Rightarrow f(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in $Y \Rightarrow (f^{-1})^{-1}(A)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in Y .

Hence f^{-1} is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute. Hence f is a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-homeomorphism.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$. We have $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(1,2)^*$ -bijective, f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute and f^{-1} is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute. Now f^{-1} is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-irresolute $\Rightarrow f$ is pre- $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

Definition 4.17

Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed map if for each $\tau_{1,2}$ -closed set F of X , $f(F)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .

Definition 4.18

Let X and Y be two bitopological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open if for each $\tau_{1,2}$ -open set U of X , $f(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open in Y .

Theorem 4.19

Every $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism is a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-homeomorphism.

Proof:

It follows from the fact that every $(1,2)^*$ -continuous map is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-continuous [10] and every $(1,2)^*$ -open map is a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-open map [11].

Remark 4.20

The converse of Theorem 4.19 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 4.21

Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ are $\tau_{1,2}$ -

open. Let $\sigma_1 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the sets in $\{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ are $\sigma_{1,2}$ -open. Define $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = c$. Clearly f is not a $(1,2)^*$ -homeomorphism since f is not an $(1,2)^*$ -open map. However f is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-homeomorphism.

Theorem 4.22

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an $(1,2)^*$ -irresolute $(1,2)^*$ -closed map, then F is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in $X \Rightarrow f(F)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y .

Proof:

Let F be a $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed subset of X . Now to prove that $f(F)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed in Y . Let $f(F) \subset U$ and U be $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Then $F \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Since U is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open and f is $(1,2)^*$ -irresolute. Therefore $f^{-1}(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open. Since F is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed, $F \subset f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(U)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -semi-open, $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(F) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Hence $f(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(F)) \subset f(f^{-1}(U)) \subset U$. Since f is a $(1,2)^*$ -closed map, $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f(F)) \subset f(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(F))$. Hence $\sigma_{1,2}\text{-cl}(f(F)) \subset U$. Therefore $f(F)$ is $(1,2)^*$ -sg*-closed.

References

- [1] N.Bourbaki, "General Topology", Part I, Addison-Wesley, 1996.
- [2] J.C.Kelly, "Bitopological spaces", Proc. London Math. Soc. Vol.13, pp. 71-89, 1963.
- [3] M.Lellis Thivagar, M.Margaret Nirmala, R.Raja Rajeshwari and E.Ekici, "A Note on $(1,2)$ -GPR-closed sets", Math.Maced Vol.4, pp. 33-42, 2006.
- [4] M. Murugalingam, "A Study of Semi-Generalized Topology", Ph.D. Thesis, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, 2005.
- [5] O.Ravi, M.Lellis Thivagar and E.Ekici, "On $(1,2)^*$ -sets and decompositions of bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -continuous mappings", Kochi J.Math., Vol. 3, pp. 181-189, 2008.
- [6] O.Ravi, M.Lellis Thivagar and E.Hatir, "Decomposition of $(1,2)^*$ -continuity and $(1,2)^*$ - α -Continuity", Miskolc Mathematical notes, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 163-171, 2009.
- [7] O.Ravi, K. Mahaboob Hassain Sherieff and M.Krishna Moorthy, "On decompositions of bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -A-continuity" (To appear in International Journal of Computer Science and emerging Technologies).
- [8] O.Ravi, G.Ram Kumar and M.Krishna Moorthy, "Decompositions of $(1,2)^*$ - α -continuity and $(1,2)^*$ - α -gs-continuity" (To appear in International Journal of computational and applied mathematics).
- [9] O.Ravi and M.Lellis Thivagar, "Remarks on λ -irresolute functions via $(1,2)^*$ -sets", Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 5, No. 1 pp. 1-15, 2010.
- [10] O.Ravi and M.Lellis Thivagar, "A bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -semi-generalized continuous maps", Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. Vol. 2, No. 29(1), pp. 79-88, 2006.
- [11] O.Ravi, S.Pious Missier and T.Salai Parkunan, "On bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -generalized Homeomorphisms", Internat. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. Vol 5, No. 11, pp. 543-557, 2010.
- [12] O.Ravi, M. Lellis Thivagar, M.E.Abd El-Monsef, "Remarks on bitopological $(1,2)^*$ -quotient mappings", J. Egypt Math. Soc. Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 17-25, 2008.
- [13] O.Ravi, M.Lellis Thivagar, M.Joseph Israel, K.Kayathri, "Mildly $(1,2)^*$ -Normal spaces and some bitopological functions", Mathematica Bohemica Vol. 135, No. 1, pp. 1-13, 2010.
- [14] O.Ravi, M.Lellis Thivagar, "On stronger forms of $(1,2)^*$ -quotient mappings in bitopological spaces", Internat. J. Math. Game theory and Algebra. Vol. 14, No.6, pp. 481-492, 2004.
- [15] M.K.R.S. Veerakumar, " \hat{g} -closed sets in topological spaces", Bull. Allah. Math. Soc., Vol. 18, pp. 99-112, 2003.